

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/988,740	PIHLAJA, PEKKA JUHANA	
	Examiner Henry N. Tran	Art Unit 2674	

All Participants:**Status of Application:** Pending(1) Exr. Henry N. Tran (PTO).

(3) _____.

(2) Atty. Ross Dannenberg (Reg. No. 49,024).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 September 2005**Time:** 8:45**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Claims 1-10, 12, 16-27, 43, 44, and 70-73.

Prior art documents discussed:

None.


Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


HENRY N. TRAN
 (Examiner/SPE SPE PRIMARY EXAMINER)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed that the After Final Amendment filed Aug. 12, 2005 has been received. However, claim 16 is improperly dependent upon the canceled claim 15.

Agreed that claim 16 is canceled; and the examiner is authorized to make an Examiner's Amendment to cancel claim 16 for placing the application in condition for allowance. .

WT
8/6/05